

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

دا 🐫

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/416,715	10/13/1999		MANFRED LEMBKE	10191/1201	6509		
26646 VENVON A	7590 KFNYON	07/09/2002		EXAMINER			
KENYON & KENYON ONE BROADWAY NEW YORK, NY 10004				ZACHARIA, RAMSEY E			
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				1773 DATE MAILED: 07/09/2002	13		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

*1				<u> </u>
-		Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)	. 1
		09/416,715	LEMBKE ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Ramsey Zacharia	1773	
Period for	The MAILING DATE f this communicati	n appears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence add	ress
A SHC THE M - Extens after S - If the p - If NO - Failure	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR FINALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 (a) (b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days be to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by ply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, maion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of period will apply and will expire SIX (6) lightly the cause the application to become	y a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this cone e ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n <u>06 June 2002</u> .		
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b)			
3)□ Disp sitie	Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice upon of Claims	allowance except for formal under Ex parte Quayle, 1935	matters, prosecution as to the C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	emerits is
	Claim(s) <u>1,4-6,8-10 and 12-17</u> is/are pe	nding in the application.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w			
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
•	Claim(s) <u>1,4-6,8-10 and 12-17</u> is/are reje	ected.		
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement	•	
	on Papers			
9)[]	The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.		
10) 🔲 -	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□] accepted or b) ☐ objected to	by the Examiner.	•
	Applicant may not request that any objection	on to the drawing(s) be held in a	beyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) 🔲 -	The proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examine	er.
	If approved, corrected drawings are require			
12) 🗌	The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner.		
	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)⊠	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreign priority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)	⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority doc			
	2. Certified copies of the priority doc	cuments have been received	in Application No	
* (Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation See the attached detailed Office action for the a	onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2) or a list of the certified copies	a)). not received.	
14)□/	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for c	lomestic priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisiona	l application).
	a) The translation of the foreign langu Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	age provisional application h	as been received.	•
Attachmer				
1) Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pape	-948) 5) 🔲 Not	rview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No ce of Informal Patent Application (PT er:	o(s) CO-152)

Art Unit: 1773

DETAILED ACTION

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. Claims 1, 4-6, 8-10, 12, 13, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gruner at al. (U.S. Patent 4,345,465).

Gruner et al. teach a probe for measuring the rate of flow, temperature, or both of a flowing gas or other fluid that may be used in an internal combustion engine (column 1, lines 5-13). The probe comprises thin sheets of a heat resistant polymer having a hydrophobic coating that is preferably 0.5 µm thick (column 1, lines 35-66). The probe is further composed of metal layers (Figure 1 and column 2, lines 43-47). The hydrophobic coating may be a polymer of hexafluoropropylene, i.e. a polymeric fluorocarbon resin or fluorine-containing polymer, that is designed to prevent dirt from contaminating the surface (column 3, lines 18-25).

Regarding the limitations of claims 4, 5, and 10, the stability temperature, surface energy, and decomposition temperature are taken to be physical properties of the material. Since Gruner et al. uses a fluorinated polymer for the hydrophobic coating as is done in the instant application, the hydrophobic coating of Gruner et al. is taken to inherently possess the same material properties as that of the instant invention.

Moreover, the hydrophobic coating of Gruner et al. is taken to pass a cross-cut test since it is the same material as used in the instant invention and is designed to act as a protective layer.

Art Unit: 1773

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. Claims 1, 4-6, 8-10, and 12-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sugimoto et al. (U.S. patent 4,606,952) in view of Gruner at al. (U.S. Patent 4,345,465).

Sugimoto et al. teach an automotive fuel hose and fuel pump diaphragm comprising a laminate of a fluororubber inner layer bonded to an outer layer (column 1, lines 9-13).

Sugimoto et al. do not teach the presence of a sensor element.

Gruner et al. teach a probe for measuring the rate of flow, temperature, or both of a flowing gas or other fluid that may be used in an internal combustion engine (column 1, lines 5-13). The probe comprises thin sheets of a heat resistant polymer having a hydrophobic coating that is preferably 0.5 µm thick (column 1, lines 35-66). The probe is further composed of metal layers (Figure 1 and column 2, lines 43-47). The hydrophobic coating may be a polymer of hexafluoropropylene, i.e. a polymeric fluorocarbon resin or fluorine-containing polymer, that is designed to prevent dirt from contaminating the surface (column 3, lines 18-25). The probe is designed to be disposed in a flow channel or duct of a fluid medium (claim 1).

Regarding the limitations of claims 4, 5, and 10, the stability temperature, surface energy, and decomposition temperature are taken to be physical properties of the material. Since Gruner et al. uses a fluorinated polymer for the hydrophobic coating as is done in the instant application, the hydrophobic coating of Gruner et al. is taken to inherently possess the same material properties as that of the instant invention.

Art Unit: 1773

Moreover, the hydrophobic coating of Gruner et al. is taken to pass a cross-cut test since it is the same material as used in the instant invention and is designed to act as a protective layer.

One of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to dispose the probe of Gruner et al. in the fuel hose or pump of Sugimoto et al. to allow for detection of, and subsequent control over, the rate of flow through the hose or pump.

Regarding claim 16, the hose or pump containing the probe reads on a housing for the probe.

Therefore, the inventions of claims 1, 4-6, 8-10, and 12-17 would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the inventions were made.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed June, 6, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The Applicant argues that Gruner et al. do not teach all the limitations of the invention as claimed because Gruner et al. applies their hydrophobic coating to a polyimide layer and not to silicon, silicon nitride, silicon dioxide, glass, metal, or a ceramic.

However, the claims as written do not require that the anti-adhesive surface coating acting as a protective layer be applied directly on silicon, silicon nitride, silicon dioxide, glass, metal, or a ceramic. The claims merely require that the sensor or actuator element be composed of at least one of silicon, silicon nitride, silicon dioxide, glass, metal, or a ceramic. The probe of Gruner et al. meets this limitation since the probe is composed of at least metal as illustrated by the presence of a metalized layer.

Art Unit: 1773

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ramsey Zacharia whose telephone number is (703) 305-0503. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 9 to 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Thibodeau, can be reached on (703) 308-2367. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9310 for non afterfinal correspondences and (703) 872-9311 for after-final correspondences.

Art Unit: 1773

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1700

Ramsey Zacharia

REZ

7/1/02